

United States Environmental Protection Agency
Pollution Report

I. HEADING

Date: September 16, 2006

From: Thomas P. Budroe, On-Scene-Coordinator *MB*
U.S. EPA, Region II, Removal Action Branch

To: J. Rotola, 2 ERRD-RAB
G. Zachos, 2ERRD-OMB
C. Petersen, 2ERRD-NJRB
P. Mannino, 2ERRD
D. Karlen, 2ORC
S. Flanagan, 2ORC
M. Mears, 2EPA-CD
D. Kraft, 2DECA
D. Dillion, 2EPA-CID
T. Grier, 5204G
P. Zammit, 2OIG
L. Esobar, ATSDR
A. Raddant, USDOJ
L. Quinn, NJDEP
J. Pasqualo, NJDHSS
C. Kelley, 2RST
Records Center

Subject: Cornell-Dubilier Electronics Site, Residential Cleanup
South Plainfield, Middlesex County, New Jersey

POLREP: 18 and Final RV3

II. BACKGROUND

Site No:	GZ
Response Authority:	CERCLA
CERCLIS No.:	NJD981557879
NPL Status:	Final NPL
State Notification:	NJDEP and NJDHSS Notified
Action Memo Status (RV3):	Approved on June 28, 2004
Start Date (RV3):	August 12, 2004
Demobe Date:	September 21, 2004
Completion Date:	October 25, 2005

III. SITE INFORMATION

1. Site Location

See Polrep 17.

2. Description of threat

See Polrep 17.

IV. RESPONSE INFORMATION

A. Situation

1. Current Situation

The PCB contaminated soil was removed at the residential property located at 126 Spicer Avenue in South Plainfield, New Jersey. The majority of the restoration of the affected areas was completed. The above mentioned residential property was inspected in the spring of 2005, to determine the scope of revegetation work required to complete restoration. The inspection evidenced the necessity to replace sod at 126 Spicer Avenue in areas of the yard which had not taken root and died. In addition, ruts were observed which had been caused by heavy equipment movement through the yard which would require filling with soil and revegetation. All replacement vegetation would subsequently require watering to ensure that the vegetation survived. A cost analysis was performed and documented in the file to compare the cost of EPA conducting the revegetation work utilizing EPA's ERRS contractor to the cost of the resident performing the same work. The cost analysis indicated that it would be more cost effective and beneficial to the government to conduct an Agreement of Release with the resident rather than paying EPA's contractor to replace the vegetation and conduct watering of the vegetation over the course of the summer. This would also eliminate EPA's requirement to replace any supplied vegetation should it not survive. The resident was also in favor of this remedy. As a result, an Agreement of Release was drafted by EPA and was signed by the resident on September 26, 2005. The funds specified in the Agreement of Release were verified as received by the resident in his account electronically on October 25, 2005.

2. Removal activities

All work planned for this removal action has been completed.

B. Planned Removal Activities

All work planned for this removal action has been completed.

C. Next steps

All work planned for this removal action has been completed.

D. Key Issues

None.

V. COST INFORMATION

The following table contains information on estimated costs for the removal action as of June 13, 2005.

	<u>Ceiling</u>	<u>Cost to Date</u>	<u>Remaining Project Funds</u>
ERRS	\$93,257	\$87,854	\$5,403
RST	\$ 25,000	Not available	Not available

The above accounting of expenditures is an estimate based on figures known to the OSC at the time this report was written. The cost accounting provided in this report does not necessarily represent an exact monetary figure which the government may include in any claim for cost recovery.

VI. DISPOSITION OF WASTES

The table below provides an estimate of the soil shipped for disposal.

Waste stream	Medium	Quantity	Treatment	Disposal
Non-TSCA Regulated Soil (RV3)	Soil	280 tons	Landfill	Clean Earth of Maryland, Hagerstown, MD